

# EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

- - -

In Re: : Chapter 11  
:   
: Case No.  
W.R. GRACE & CO., et al, : 01-01139 JKF  
:   
: (Jointly  
Debtors : Administered)

- - -

Friday, May 1, 2009

- - -

Oral deposition of PETER VAN  
N. LOCKWOOD, ESQUIRE, taken pursuant to  
notice, was held at the offices of CAPLIN  
& DRYSDALE, One Thomas Circle N.W., Suite  
1100, Washington, DC 20005, commencing  
at 9:43 a.m., on the above date, before  
Lori A. Zabielski, a Registered  
Professional Reporter and Notary Public  
in and for the Commonwealth of  
Pennsylvania.

- - -

MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES  
Seven Penn Center  
1635 Market Street  
8th Floor  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

Page 2	Page 4
<p>1 APPEARANCES:</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 DRINKER BIDDLE &amp; REATH, LLP</p> <p>4 BY: MICHAEL F. BROWN, ESQUIRE</p> <p>5 JEFFREY M. BOERGER, ESQUIRE</p> <p>6 One Logan Square</p> <p>7 18th &amp; Cherry Streets</p> <p>8 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-6996</p> <p>9 215.988.2988</p> <p>10 (brownmf@dbb.com)</p> <p>11 (jeffrey.boerger@dbb.com)</p> <p>12 Representing OneBeacon America Insurance</p> <p>13 Company, Seaton Insurance Company,</p> <p>14 Government Employees Insurance Company,</p> <p>15 Columbia Insurance Company f/k/a Republic</p> <p>16 Insurance Company</p> <p>17</p> <p>18 CAPLIN &amp; DRYSDALE, CHARTERED</p> <p>19 BY: NATHAN D. FINCH, ESQUIRE</p> <p>20 JEFFREY A. LIESEMER, ESQUIRE*</p> <p>21 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)</p> <p>22 One Thomas Circle N.W.</p> <p>23 Suite 1100</p> <p>24 Washington, DC 20005</p> <p>25 202.862.7801</p> <p>26 (ndf@capdale.com)</p> <p>27 (jal@capdale.com)</p> <p>28 Representing Grace, Official Committee of</p> <p>29 Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants</p> <p>30 ("ACC"), and Witness</p> <p>31</p> <p>32 W.R. GRACE &amp; CO.</p> <p>33 BY: RICHARD C. FINKE, ESQUIRE*</p> <p>34 ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL</p> <p>35 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)</p> <p>36 5400 Broken Sound Boulevard, NW</p> <p>37 Suite 300</p> <p>38 Boca Raton, Florida 33487</p> <p>39 561.362.1533</p> <p>40 Representing W.R. Grace &amp; Co.</p>	<p>1 APPEARANCES (continued)</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 SPEIGHTS &amp; RUNYAN</p> <p>4 BY: DANIEL H. SPEIGHTS, ESQUIRE*</p> <p>5 (* VIA TELECONFERENCE)</p> <p>6 200 Jackson Avenue East</p> <p>7 P.O. Box 685</p> <p>8 Hampton, South Carolina 29924</p> <p>9 803.943.4444</p> <p>10 (dspeights@speightsrunyan.com)</p> <p>11 Representing Anderson Memorial Hospital</p> <p>12</p> <p>13 TUCKER ARENSBERG</p> <p>14 BY: MICHAEL A. SHINER, ESQUIRE</p> <p>15 1500 One PPG Place</p> <p>16 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222</p> <p>17 412.594.5586</p> <p>18 (mshiner@tuckerlaw.com)</p> <p>19 Representing Certain London Market</p> <p>20 Insurers and AXA Belgium</p> <p>21</p> <p>22 MENDES &amp; MOUNT, LLP</p> <p>23 BY: CAROLINA ACEVEDO, ESQUIRE*</p> <p>24 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)</p> <p>25 750 Seventh Avenue</p> <p>26 New York, New York 10019</p> <p>27 212.261.8262</p> <p>28 (carolina.acevedo@mendes.com)</p> <p>29 Representing AXA Belgium as Successor to</p> <p>30 Royale Belge SSA</p> <p>31</p> <p>32 MENDES &amp; MOUNT, LLP</p> <p>33 BY: ALEXANDER MUELLER, ESQUIRE*</p> <p>34 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)</p> <p>35 750 Seventh Avenue</p> <p>36 New York, New York 10019-6829</p> <p>37 212.261.8296</p> <p>38 (alexander.mueller@mendes.com)</p> <p>39 Representing London Market Companies</p>
Page 3	Page 5
<p>1 APPEARANCES (continued)</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 KIRKLAND &amp; ELLIS, LLP</p> <p>4 BY: BARBARA M. HARDING, ESQUIRE</p> <p>5 THEODORE L. FREEDMAN, ESQUIRE</p> <p>6 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.</p> <p>7 Washington, DC 20005-5793</p> <p>8 202.879.5081</p> <p>9 (barbara.harding@kirkland.com)</p> <p>10 (tfreedman@kirkland.com)</p> <p>11 Representing the Debtors</p> <p>12</p> <p>13 SIMPSON THACHER &amp; BARTLETT, LLP</p> <p>14 BY: ELISA ALCABES, ESQUIRE</p> <p>15 425 Lexington Avenue</p> <p>16 New York, New York 10017-3954</p> <p>17 212.455.3133</p> <p>18 (ealcabes@stblaw.com)</p> <p>19 Representing Travelers Casualty and</p> <p>20 Surety Company</p> <p>21</p> <p>22 VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR AND PEASE, LLP</p> <p>23 BY: TIFFANY STRELOW COBB, ESQUIRE*</p> <p>24 ROBERT J. SIDMAN, ESQUIRE*</p> <p>25 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)</p> <p>26 52 East Gay Street</p> <p>27 Columbus, Ohio 43215</p> <p>28 614.464.8322</p> <p>29 (scobb@vorys.com)</p> <p>30 Representing The Scotts Company, LLC</p> <p>31</p> <p>32 COHN WHITESELL &amp; GOLDBERG, LLP</p> <p>33 BY: DANIEL C. COHN, ESQUIRE</p> <p>34 101 Arch Street</p> <p>35 Boston, Massachusetts 02110</p> <p>36 617.951.2505</p> <p>37 (cohn@cwgl.com)</p> <p>38 Representing the Libby Claimants</p>	<p>1 APPEARANCE (continued)</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 FORD MARRIN ESPOSITO &amp; WITMEYER &amp; GLESER</p> <p>4 BY: ELIZABETH M. DeCRISTOFARO, ESQUIRE</p> <p>5 Wall Street Plaza</p> <p>6 New York, New York 10005-1875</p> <p>7 212.269.4900</p> <p>8 Representing Continental Casualty Company</p> <p>9 and Continental Insurance Company</p> <p>10</p> <p>11 BILZIN SUMBERG BAENA PRICE &amp; AXELROD, LLP</p> <p>12 BY: MATTHEW I. KRAMER, ESQUIRE</p> <p>13 200 South Biscayne Boulevard</p> <p>14 Suite 2500</p> <p>15 Miami, Florida 33131-5340</p> <p>16 305.450.7246</p> <p>17 (mkramer@bilzin.com)</p> <p>18 Representing Property Damage Committee</p> <p>19</p> <p>20 STROOCK &amp; STROOCK &amp; LAVAN, LLP</p> <p>21 BY: ARLENE G. KRIEGER, ESQUIRE</p> <p>22 180 Maiden Lane</p> <p>23 New York, New York 10038-4982</p> <p>24 212.806.5400</p> <p>25 (akrieger@stroock.com)</p> <p>26 Representing Official Committee of</p> <p>27 Unsecured Creditors</p> <p>28</p> <p>29 CROWELL &amp; MORING, LLP</p> <p>30 BY: MARK PLEVIN, ESQUIRE</p> <p>31 NOAH S. BLOOMBERG, ESQUIRE</p> <p>32 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue NW</p> <p>33 Washington, DC 20004-2595</p> <p>34 202.624.2913</p> <p>35 (mplevin@crowell.com)</p> <p>36 (nbloomberg@crowell.com)</p> <p>37 Representing Fireman's Fund Insurance</p> <p>38 (Surety Bond)</p>

Page 6	Page 8
<p>1 APPEARANCES (continued)</p> <p>2 STEVENS &amp; LEE, P.C.</p> <p>3 BY: JOHN D. DEMMY, ESQUIRE</p> <p>4 1105 North Market Street, 7th Floor</p> <p>5 Wilmington, Delaware 19801</p> <p>6 302.654.5180</p> <p>7 (jdd@stevenslee.com)</p> <p>8 Representing Fireman's Fund Insurance</p> <p>9 ALAN B. RICH LAW OFFICES</p> <p>10 BY: ALAN B. RICH, ESQUIRE</p> <p>11 Elm Place, Suite 4620</p> <p>12 1401 Elm Street</p> <p>13 Dallas, Texas 75202</p> <p>14 214.744.5100</p> <p>15 (arich@alanrichlaw.com)</p> <p>16 Representing Property Damage FCR</p> <p>17 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE &amp; HUTZ, LLP</p> <p>18 BY: JEFFREY C. WISLER, ESQUIRE</p> <p>19 The Nemours Building</p> <p>20 1007 North Orange Street</p> <p>21 P.O. Box 2207</p> <p>22 Wilmington, Delaware 19899</p> <p>23 302.88.6528</p> <p>24 (jwisler@oblh.com)</p> <p>Representing Maryland Casualty</p> <p>ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN &amp; MELLOTT, LLC</p> <p>BY: EDWARD J. LONGOSZ, II, ESQUIRE</p> <p>1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW</p> <p>12th Floor</p> <p>Washington, DC 20006</p> <p>202.659.6619</p> <p>(elongosz@eckertseamans.com)</p> <p>Representing Maryland Casualty and Zurich</p>	<p>1 APPEARANCES (continued)</p> <p>2 WILSON ELSEER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN &amp; DICKER,</p> <p>3 LLP</p> <p>4 BY: CARL PERNICONE, ESQUIRE*</p> <p>5 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)</p> <p>6 150 East 42nd Street</p> <p>7 New York, New York 10017-5639</p> <p>8 212.915.5656</p> <p>9 (carl.pernicone@wilsonelser.com)</p> <p>10 Representing Arrowood Indemnity Company</p> <p>11 WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE &amp; RICE, PLLC</p> <p>12 BY: KEVIN J. MANGAN, ESQUIRE*</p> <p>13 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)</p> <p>14 222 Delaware Avenue</p> <p>15 Suite 1501</p> <p>16 Wilmington, Delaware 19801</p> <p>17 302.252.4361</p> <p>18 (kmangan@wcsr.com)</p> <p>19 Representing State of Montana</p> <p>20 PEPPER HAMILTON, LLP</p> <p>21 BY: LINDA J. CASEY, ESQUIRE*</p> <p>22 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)</p> <p>23 3000 Two Logan Square</p> <p>24 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103</p> <p>215.981.4000</p> <p>(caseyl@pepperlaw.com)</p> <p>Representing BNSF Railway Company</p> <p>---</p>
Page 7	Page 9
<p>1 APPEARANCES (continued)</p> <p>2 WILEY REIN, LLP</p> <p>3 BY: KARALEE C. MORELL, ESQUIRE</p> <p>4 1776 K Street NW</p> <p>5 Washington, DC 20006</p> <p>6 202.719.7520</p> <p>7 (kmorell@wileyrein.com)</p> <p>8 Representing Maryland Casualty and Zurich</p> <p>9 COZEN O'CONNOR</p> <p>10 BY: JACOB C. COHN, ESQUIRE</p> <p>11 1900 Market Street</p> <p>12 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-3508</p> <p>13 215.665.2147</p> <p>14 (jcohn@cozen.com)</p> <p>15 Representing Federal Insurance Company</p> <p>16 ORRICK HERRINGTON &amp; SUTCLIFFE, LLP</p> <p>17 BY: JONATHAN P. GUY, ESQUIRE</p> <p>18 JOSHUA M. CUTLER, ESQUIRE</p> <p>19 Columbia Center</p> <p>20 1152 15th Street, N.W.</p> <p>21 Washington, DC 20005-1706</p> <p>22 202.339.8516</p> <p>23 (jguy@orricks.com)</p> <p>24 Representing Future Claimants Representative</p> <p>CUYLER BURK, P.C.</p> <p>BY: ANDREW CRAIG, ESQUIRE</p> <p>4 Century Drive</p> <p>Parsippany, New Jersey 07054</p> <p>973.734.3200</p> <p>(acraig@cuyler.com)</p> <p>Representing Allstate Insurance Company</p>	<p>1 - - -</p> <p>2 INDEX</p> <p>3 - - -</p> <p>4</p> <p>5 Testimony of:</p> <p>6 PETER VAN N. LOCKWOOD, ESQUIRE</p> <p>7</p> <p>8 By Mr. Brown Page 12</p> <p>9 By Ms. Alcabes Page 267</p> <p>10 By Ms. Cobb Page 339</p> <p>11 By Mr. Cohn Page 368</p> <p>12</p> <p>13 - - -</p> <p>14 EXHIBITS</p> <p>15 - - -</p> <p>16</p> <p>17 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE</p> <p>18 1 Amended Notice of Deposition</p> <p>19 of Asbestos PI Committee... 12</p> <p>20</p> <p>21 2 Objections to the Official</p> <p>22 Committee... 12</p> <p>23 3 Form 8-K and Term Sheet 15</p> <p>24 4 Exhibit-6 to Exhibit Book 26</p> <p>5 First Amended Joint Plan of</p> <p>Reorganization... 27</p>

Page 10

## EXHIBITS (continued)

## NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE

6 Exhibit-19 to Exhibit Book 83

7 Settlement Agreement  
\* CONFIDENTIAL \* 1448 Complaint for Declaration of  
the Relief... 175

9 Diagram 175

10 Exhibit-2 to Exhibit Book 196

11 Exhibit-4 to Exhibit Book 224

12 Exhibit-10 to Exhibit Book 260

13 Travelers Casualty and Surety  
Company's Notice of Deposition  
to the Official Committee of  
Asbestos Personal Injury  
Claimants... 267

14 Debtors' Disclosure... 280

15 Documents bearing Bates stamps  
TRAVAS0000019 through 141

\* CONFIDENTIAL \* 289

16 Notice of Service of Discovery 324

- - -

Page 11

## DEPOSITION SUPPORT INDEX

## Direction to Witness Not to Answer:

Page Line Page Line

NONE

## Request for Production of Documents:

Page Line Page Line

NONE

## Stipulations:

Page Line Page Line

12 02

## Area(s) Marked Confidential:

Page Line Page Line

152 01 through 168 03

292 01 through 311 14

provision, essentially that we are going to transfer the assets to the Trust and if you got a claim or an interest in the assets, then you can litigate that claim against the Trust.

But we are going, I guess, have potential confirmation objections about whether there are any such claims. I mean, the mere assertion of a claim doesn't mean that it's valid.

BY MR. BROWN:

**Q. Okay. If I can direct your attention down to 7.2.4, which is entitled Assignment and Enforcement of Asbestos PI Trust Causes of Action.**

A. Yes.

**Q. I must confess, I am a bit baffled by this one, so I need some help with it.**

**How do Asbestos PI Trust causes of action differ from asbestos insurance rights?**

Asbestos PI Trust claim against the Trust, the Trust could assert Grace's contribution rights as a counterclaim to that. That's two categories of things that this is intended to include.

**Q. Okay. Let's go to page 64, 7.2.6, Creation and Termination of the Asbestos PI TAC.**

A. Correct.

**Q. It says, "On or before the Confirmation Date, the initial members of the Asbestos PI TAC shall be selected by the Asbestos PI Committee."**

**That has already occurred, correct?**

A. Correct. They are identified in the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement.

**Q. Okay. How many actual committee members are there on the Asbestos PI Committee?**

A. I don't remember. But we have the Disclosure Statement here. I could re-quickly find out by just

A. Well, I have to go back and look at the definitions to answer that question.

Well, I think asbestos PI Trust causes of action does include asbestos insurance rights.

**Q. What else does it include?**

A. Well, if you look at the definition, it includes defenses such that, for example, if a claimant says, I have a valid claim against Grace that's channelled to the Trust and the Trust disagrees with it, the Trust retains all the defenses to that claim that Grace would have had. That's clause A under definition 47.

**Q. Okay.**

A. Clause B is, for example, contribution rights, et cetera. So, for example, if the Trust has -- if Grace has contribution rights that it has not asserted and that which are still valid against a codefendant in a tort system and the codefendant brings in indirect

looking at it where they are identified.

**Q. Okay.**

A. It's certainly more than the four that are going to be on the TAC.

**Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that the actual committee members who are asbestos claimants act through their tort counsel in connection with their obligations as committee members?**

A. As a general proposition, that's true. In any given committee on any given issue, an individual member might choose to show up and act on their own behalf, and there have been some examples in the past where that has occurred.

But, as a general proposition, the committee members are blue-collar folks of limited legal knowledge, and they delegate to their personal injury lawyers their sort of activities acting for them as an agent on these committees.

**Okay. You are counsel to**

1 the Asbestos PI Committee. You don't  
2 have occasion, do you, to deal directly  
3 with the actual claimants?

4 MR. FINCH: Object to the  
5 form.

6 THE WITNESS: That's not  
7 entirely true. I get calls  
8 periodically that I just got this  
9 incomprehensible Disclosure  
10 Statement from Grace and could you  
11 please tell me what it means or  
12 something. But as a general  
13 proposition --

14 MR. FINCH: Transfer to it  
15 to Finch.

16 THE WITNESS: Or where do I  
17 file my proof of claim.

18 But, as a general  
19 proposition, I don't nor do other  
20 folks at Caplin & Drysdale deal  
21 directly with original committee  
22 members.

23 BY MR. BROWN:

24 You deal with personal

1 committee?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And do those committee  
4 members for those firms act through those  
5 four gentlemen?

6 A. On the committee?

7 Q. Yes.

8 A. Generally, yes.

9 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say  
10 that Mr. Rice, Mr. Weitz, Mr. Cooney, and  
11 Mr. Budd selected themselves to be  
12 members of the TAC?

13 A. No, because there are many  
14 other members of the committee, and the  
15 committee as a whole, which, in this  
16 particular case, I believe has a majority  
17 of members that are not these four  
18 gentlemen, decided which of their members  
19 they thought would be appropriate persons  
20 to put on the TAC.

21 Q. And how was that decided?

22 A. As far as I know, they had  
23 informal discussions, and they had a  
24 committee meeting. I don't remember

1 injury attorneys, correct?

2 A. As a general proposition, we  
3 deal with the PI lawyers who have been  
4 appointed by their client committee  
5 member to act on their behest in the  
6 committee.

7 Q. Now, the TAC members are  
8 John Cooney, Perry Weitz, Joe Rice,  
9 and -- who was the fourth one?

10 A. Well, I can tell you by  
11 looking at the PI Trust Agreement, which  
12 is Exhibit-2 to the Plan and looking at  
13 the signature page, we should have, which  
14 is --

15 Q. Russell Budd.

16 A. Russell Budd, John Cooney,  
17 Joseph Rice, and Perry Weitz.

18 Q. And each of them works for a  
19 law firm, correct?

20 A. Each of them is a partner a  
21 law firm, yes.

22 Q. Sorry. I didn't mean to...

23 Now, does each of those law  
24 firms have a client that sits on the

1 whether there were votes or anything like  
2 that. But at the end of the day, through  
3 some sort of nomination or informal  
4 self-nomination or self-nomination,  
5 speeches, lobbying, discussions, what  
6 have you, there came a time at which the  
7 committee voted to select these four  
8 people.

9 Q. Okay.

10 A. And I might add that the  
11 Future Claimants Representative had a  
12 sort of a generalized oversight in the  
13 sense that while the Plan contemplates  
14 that the committee would nominate the  
15 TAC. If the FCR thought, for some reason  
16 or another, that somebody had been put on  
17 the TAC that was a real bad idea, the  
18 committee would probably have had to  
19 listen to the Future Representative's  
20 views on that even though the Futures Rep  
21 did not have sort of a formal veto or  
22 role in that process.

23 Q. Okay. I want to now turn to  
24 the -- well, it's 69 on my version,

**Section 7.7, Conditions to Occurrence of the Confirmation Date, and I want to focus your attention first on (g).**

A. I see it.

**Q. What are the securities that are funding the Asbestos PI Trust?**

A. The warrant and the Deferred Payment Agreement, which is a debt obligation, which also includes, I believe, a promissory note or promissory notes.

**Q. Can you describe for me the circumstances under which the asbestos PI claim -- excuse me -- the Asbestos PI Trust will be funded with dividends?**

A. In the event that it exercises the warrant and acquires stock pursuant to that exercise and the stock pays dividends, it will get dividends.

**Q. And if the warrant is not exercised?**

A. Then it won't get dividends.

**Q. What about if there is a default under the deferred payment note?**

A. My recollection is that the Trust has the right to get 50.1 percent of the stock of the Debtor under those circumstances.

But, again, the terms of -- that's a very complicated set of documents, and the precise terms of that are whatever the document states. I can only give you a sort of a very generalized description.

**Q. Okay. Let me draw your attention now down to (I), condition (I).**

A. Yes, I see it.

**Q. What does that mean?**

MS. HARDING: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Well, what it means is that if you didn't have a TDP, which includes things like a payment percentage and mechanisms for trying to trying to limit the ways in which the Trust expends monies on claims, and you just had sort of a come in, sue the Trust

and the tort system, et cetera, you would have a first-come-first-serve operation where there was the distinct possibility that, as it happened in the Manville Trust at the very beginning, all the money would run out the door at the front end, and there wouldn't be anything left for future claimants, which would violate 524(g).

BY MR. BROWN:

**Q. Okay. Well, the way that this provision is written suggests that any procedures other than those that are set forth in this Plan would defeat the purposes of Section 524(g).**

**Is that what is intended here?**

MR. FINCH: Object to form.

MS. HARDING: Object to form.

BY MR. BROWN:

**Q. Are there other options, is the question?**

A. If the question is could one hypothesize a somewhat different set of TDPs that had somewhat different procedures, the answer is depending on what that different TDP set of procedures was, you might be able to say the same thing about it.

The purpose of this thing is to say that this structure, according to the court, satisfies the requirements of 524(g) that say that you have to establish this requirement.

I mean, this is a finding of fact that is intended to have the court rule that the Plan does, in fact, meet the requirements of a subsection of 524(g).

**Q. You could, in fact, have a Plan that met the qualifications for 524(g) that actually had a role for asbestos insurance entities, correct?**

MR. FINCH: Object to form.

MS. HARDING: Object to